



Basic Biosafety Concerns

- What is the pathogenicity of the parental virus?
- What is the host range of the parental virus? (infection vs. replication) and has anything been done to extend the host range of the vector?
- Has anything been done to extend the pathogenicity? (oncogenes, toxin genes, etc.)
- Is the isolated DNA infectious?
- Can the recombinant DNA be mobilized? (viral vs. nonviral DNAs...complementation and recombination)
- Is recombination an issue? (is all the virus there, albeit in separated genetic elements?)



As Safe as Reasonably Possible

- Biological barriers are your best protection: If the vector won't replicate in a human.....
- Physical barriers (biological safety cabinets, gloves, masks, clothing, caging, etc.) are important, but they need to match the route of infection.
- Watch out for sharps/needles!
- Your immune system is the final level of protection; try not to use it (vaccination can help in some cases).
- Know what you are working with: Quality control for cells, animals and vectors.



- Research laboratory staff/animal husbandry personnel
 - When the vector is introduced into the animal.
 - Care and husbandry of infected animals.
 - When infected material returns to the research laboratory.
- Animals in the colonies

How Is an Animal Different from a Petri Dish?

- Eating, Excreting
- Biting, sneezing
- Confining the inoculum
- Sharps: injections and dissection of tissues
- Disposal of infected animals and bedding
- Animal handlers (informed consent)



- What experiments will be done with recombinant DNA and/or viral vectors?
- What viral vectors will be used?...Molecular details can be important...
- Will anything be done that would extend the host range or enhance the pathogenicity of the vectors?
- Is it reasonable to expect that the vectors can be complimented or recombine in the proposed experiments?
- What will be done to minimize the risks in the proposed experiments?







Expression of Foreign Genes in Animals • Recombinant DNA (rDNA) techniques can

- Recombinant DNA (rDNA) techniques can be used to obtain expression of a foreign gene or genes
- DNA integrates: non-viral transgenic technologies, retroviruses, AAV
- DNA is not (normally) integrated: Poxvirus, adenovirus, herpesvirus

The NIH Guidelines and Beyond

- What the NIH Guidelines tell us
- Viruses and viral vectors
- A common sense approach
- What could go wrong? Imagining the worst case scenario

Risk Groups Described in NIH Guidelines

Risk Group 1 (RG1)	Agents that are not associated with disease in health adult humans (AAV [helper-free] and MuLV with benign transgenes)
Risk Group 2 (RG2)	Agents that are associated with human disease which is rarely serious and for which preventive or therapeutic interventions are <i>often</i> available (Adeno-, Hepes- and Poxviruses & recombinants)
Risk Group 3 (RG3)	Agents that are associated with serious or lethal human disease for which preventive or therapeutic interventions <i>may be</i> available (high individual risk but low community risk) (HIV-1 &-2, SIV, HTLV-1 & -2)
Risk Group 4 (RG4)	Agents that are likely to cause serious or lethal human disease for which preventive or therapeutic interventions are <i>not usually</i> available (high individual risk and high community risk)

	Pro	perties	of	Viral	Vectors
--	-----	---------	----	-------	---------

Virus	Insert Size	Persistence	Advantages	Disadvantages
Retrovirus	1-8 kb	Permanent	Stable integration	May rearrange genome, may require cell division
Adeno- associated virus	~4 kb	Transient	Prolonged transient	Limited capacity, current vectors nonintegrating
Adenovirus	2-35 kb	Transient	Efficient gene delivery	Transient, neurons, very high titers
Vaccina virus (poxvirus)	~25 kb, probably mor	Transient e capa	Wide host range, ease of isolation, large acity, high expression	Transient, immunogenic, morbidity of infct









Making a Retroviral Vector from a Retrovirus

Properties of a retroviral vector:

- 1. Express a gene or genes not normally found in the retrovirus.
- 2. After infection of host, some or all of the retroviral genes are not expressed.
- 3. In the host, the retroviral vector should be replication incompetent (potential problems with recombination and, for MLV, endogenous retroviruses).

How a defective retroviral vector is made:

- 1. Some or all of the structural genes needed for viral replication are removed from the genome.
- 2. Gene(s) of interest (Gene X) are inserted.
- 3. Structural genes are supplied in trans as separate genetic elements.







Special Considerations for Vaccinia Vectors

- Many vaccinia vectors are replication competent
- Vaccinia is readily transmitted to a variety of mammals, including humans
- Vaccinia vectors can carry a large insert, and can be used to enhance the host range of pathogenic viruses
- Titers to 1010
- Vaccination can be used to reduce lab worker susceptibility

Special Considerations for Adenovirus Vectors

- Adenoviruses are highly recombinogenic
- Vector stocks that are supposed to contain only defective vectors may contain replicating viruses
- Lab workers may harbor replicating adenovirus that can compliment a defective vector
- Vectors that have an extended host range have been developed
- Very high titers: 10¹²



- Are all the sequences needed to reconstitute the virus ever present in one cell?
- Sequence homology enhances the rate of recombination but recombination still happens in the absence of homology.
- Rare events happen frequently in high titer viral stocks.
- It only takes one replication competent recombinant virus.

DISPATCHES

Ocular Vaccinia patient we to a specia Infection in Laboratory Worker, Philadelphia, 2004

Felicia M.T. Lewis,*† Esther Chernak,* Erinn Goldman,† Yu Li,† Kevin Karen,† Inger K. Damon,† Richard Henkel,† E. Claire Newbern,* Patrina Ross,* and Caroline C. Johnson*

We report a case of ocular vaccinia infection in an unvaccinated laboratory worker. The patient was infected by a unique strain used in an experiment performed partly outside a biosafety cabinet. Vaccination should continue to be recommended, but laboratories with unvaccinated workers should also implement more stringent biosafety practices.

Physica a painful l

junctiva an 0.5-cm vesicle was noted above the left canthus (Figure 1). Left ocular range of motion, including palpebral motion,

was sever laboratory scan of the evidence infection v hospital, v vaccinia. (scraping o Pennsylva

The patien ments, bro pain medic During

Were laboratory practices followed...





Quality Control: Are You Sure You Know What You Are Getting?



Viral Vector Quality

- What to monitor
 - cDNA constructs
 - Producer cells
 - Viral vector stocks
 - Animals
- What to monitor for:
 - Is it the right vector?
 - Replication competent recombinants
 - Endogenous contaminants













UV Light Exposure



Importance of Gloves

- Changing gloves to reduce bio-burden
- Decontaminate gloves if needed before removing
- Consider trade offs of working while double gloved

Restraining, Injecting and Caging Mice

- Injecting virus into animals...inject the animal....not yourself
- Appropriate injection technique
- Restrain animals:
 - Chemical or physical
- Proper caging



Restraint Device

• Hands away from action...









Acknowledgements:

- Steve Hughes
- Joe Kozlovac
- Dexter Poon
- Jonathan Summers
- Julie Bullock
- Misty Hawes