

Ergonomic Program in a DoD Animal Care Facility Status of Issues and Corrective Approaches

Mark B. Geiger, MSE, CIH, CSP Head, Occupational Safety and Health Division

Michael Morris, RLATG
Supervisory Animal Care Technician
Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute
Bethesda, Maryland



Ergonomic Concerns in Animal Care Operations

- Heavy equipment and materials
- Increase risk of back stain and traumatic injury
- Repetitive operations
 - Potential for upper arm cumulative trauma disorders
 - (Example; changing of rodent cages)
- Persistent stress and time pressures



Processes and Operations Reviewed

- Cage wash facility
 - Repetitive operations and heavy equipment
 - Other stresses heat, noise, potential for chemical accidents
- Equipment moving within the facility
- Small rodent cage changing (mice and rats)
- Water bottle filling and handling
- Care of large animals (canines and nonhuman primates



Cage Wash Facility

Repetitive operations and heavy equipment

- Other stresses
 - Heat
 - Noise
 - Potential for chemical accidents
 - Environmental compliance issues (wastewater)
 - Infectious materials
- Critical marshaling point for all equipment



Cage Wash Facility

Industrial process operation with high capital costs.

- Many types of materials handled.
- Need for flexibility and limitations of specialized/automated equipment for small items.
- Lack of industrial engineering support.
- Space limitations.
- Stable workforce includes many handicapped staff



Cage Wash Facility Problems/Concerns

- Unnecessary and inefficient lifting compounded by lack of storage space
 - Many items unloaded from carts onto floor level and then raised to level of input.
 - Repeat process at output (clean) side
- Handling of small items includes awkward "pinch grips" and rotation/turn over of items (stress on wrists and arms)
- Dispensing of feed and bedding (manual dispensing with scoop involves rotation of wrist and entire body)



Approach/ Corrective Measures

- Training in lifting techniques
- Equipment staging and use of carts to minimize repetitive handling
- Lock-out/tag-out for repairs of cage wash
- Portable conveyors * (Must withstand sterilization)
- Repair conveyor outlet to prevent sticking (items trapped inside tunnel wash)
- Replace output rollers with longer conveyor*
- Modify feed dispensing practices and equipment*
 - * Planned or in progress



Equipment Moving Within the Animal Care Facilities

- Design limitations of many equipment items
- AFRRI had to weld handles on cage racks!
- Key role of casters in reducing rolling resistance
- Lack of storage space
- Vertical movement a problem lack of lifting devices and conveyors



Cleaning of Dog Runs

- Holding heavy hose stresses hands and wrists
- Pronation (deviation) of wrist in handling hose and other tools
- Static position awkward posture squat to reach underneath cages
- Handling of heavy mesh and sometimes poorly fitting fencing



Alternatives for Handling Hose

- Adaptation to try holding hose at better angle
 - Mark's device
 - Reduces pronation (deviation) but, also hard to hold.
 - Does not reduce squatting.
 - Water snake angled device with long handle
- Lighter weight hose (Will it last?)



Cleaning of Dog Runs (continued) Protective equipment

- Awkward, hard to don and may slip off.
- Face and head protected by surgical mask, face shield, surgical cap, earmuffs
- Add gloves, sleeves and rubber apron
- Trial of special helmet designed for forestry industry with hard-hat, earmuffs and face-shield attach usuns: (Discontinued?)

picture of 3 M device

Handling of Shoe Box Rodent Cages Mice and Rats Total 90% of Animals in Facility

- Problems resulting from lack of storage space and materials handling equipment.
- High stacks of boxes cling together.
- Stuck by suction.
- Separation requires a pinch grip with excessive force and consum
- Stress on hands and wrists.

Picture of box stacks being "walked on the floor



Stacking of Wet Boxes in Cage Wash (No time to dry!)

- Tunnel Wash does not dry boxes.
- No space or time to air dry.
- Storage and handling problems.
- Excessive stacking.
- Lack storage space and equipment.
- Warps boxes and reduces their life-span.

(Cost of boxes would pay for better equipment!)

Alternatives to Sticking Boxes

- Trial of gluing tabs to side of boxes
 - (spacer to prevent clinging)
 - Impractical for in-house effort, too many boxes
- Vendors consulted.
 - Question: Could box mold be changed to create air gap between boxes?
 - Answer: Maybe but change in mold would cost \$100K to \$200K
 - (Idea to be considered when new molds fabricated).



Other Alternatives to Sticking Boxes and Excessive Reaching

- Storage and handling carts with more shelves?
- Self leveling (*lowerator*) cart?
- Improved processing in tunnel wash (Infeasible to modify drying unit)
- Insufficient time for boxes to dry leaving tunnel wash
- Longer conveyor (space problem folding conveyor)*
- Low pressure compressed air drying of boxes*

* Under consideration.



Space remains a problem!



Shoe Box Retrieval from Tunnel Wash and Addition of Bedding

- Position near output increases heat stress
- Rotation of hand, wrist and entire body in adding bedding.
- Rotation of wrist and arm in turning over boxes



Shoe Box Retrieval from Tunnel Wash and Addition of Bedding

Repair device at output end (bent).

- Automatic bedding dispenser? Unit clogged and faced other technical problems (removed).
- Alternative dispensing methods still need evaluation.

Changing of Mouse and Rat Cages

Repetitive movement, some involving pinch grips (separation of cages) and rotation of hands and wrists* (Pelkonen, 1993)

2760 times/day Lifting hand movements

when moving mice

368 times/day Lifting cage (dirty and clean)

184 times/day Add bedding to cage

184 times/day Empty dirty cage

184 times/day Filling covers with feed

184 times/day Filling water bottles

Reduction of Repetitive Stress in Changing Rodent Cages

- Comparison of work habits and methods to reduce problems.
 - Minor changes, such as position of labels on end of cages and pushing cages on side (rather than pinch grip) reduce but do not eliminate stress. Ongoing work needed
- Reduce boxes clinging together (in Cage Wash)
- Alternative carts for handling shoe box cages.
- Angled device for holding water bottles (Improve angle of access and reduce wrist bending)



Input of Dirty Materials into Tunnel Washer

- Unnecessary and inefficient repetitive lifting
- Many items unloaded from carts onto floor and then raised to level of input.
- Problems compounded by lack of storage space.
- Flexible (folding) conveyor belts being considered.
- Rigid, but wheel mounted conveyors being considered.



Handling of Water Bottles

- Racks of glass water bottles weigh up to 55 pounds.
- Lifting to varied heights creates stresses exceeding recommended guidelines.
- Dumping of waste bottles in Cage Wash also creates an ergonomic issue
- Interim designation of handling in filling station as a two-person job.



Reducing Weight of Water Bottles

Material	Full	Empty
Glass	50 lb.	24.7 lb.
Plastic	43.0 lb.	11.7 lb.



Water Bottle Handling

- Unsuccessful use of lift table (it rusted)
- Plastic bottles substituted.
 - 7 to 13 lb weight change
- Racks holding twelve (versus twenty four) bottles being investigated
- New bottle filling station includes conveyor to reduce lifting.
- Allocation for new unit includes ergonomics.
- Dumping station in Cage Wash includes table



Space Limitations in Bottle-Filling Rooms



Processes and Operations Reviewed

- Cage wash facility
- Equipment moving within the facility
- Small rodent cage changing (mice and rats)
- Water bottle filling and handling
- Care of large animals (canines and non-human primates)



Approach to Ergonomic Program

- Initial evaluation
- Detailed survey describing operations
- Report to management and staff
- Staff training
- Identify alternative equipment

- Involve staff and management
- Never give up!















































